

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION

**IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION  
OPIATE LITIGATION**

This document relates to:  
*City of Chicago v. Cardinal Health, Inc., et al.*  
Case No. 18-op-45281-DAP

MDL No. 2804

Hon. Dan Aaron Polster

**DEFENDANTS AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORP.,  
CARDINAL HEALTH, INC., AND McKESSON CORP.'S  
MOTION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT ON MOTION TO DISMISS**

Defendants AmeriSourceBergen Drug Corp., Cardinal Health, Inc., and McKesson Corp. (collectively, the “Distributor Defendants”) respectfully request that the Court hold oral argument on their Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint. Due to the volume and complexity of the issues raised in the parties’ briefing on the motion, oral argument will give the parties an opportunity to explain and clarify their positions and respond to any questions or concerns from the Court to help in the Court’s decision-making process.

This Court has routinely found oral argument to be appropriate and helpful in ruling on motions to dismiss in MDLs or other complex litigation dealing with issues of great public importance. *See, e.g., In re Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litg.*, 799 F. Supp. 2d 777, 781 (N.D. Ohio 2011); *In re Travel Agent Comm’n Antitrust Litg.*, MDL No. 1561, Case No. 1:03 CV 30000, 2007 U.S. Dist LEXIS 79918, at \*6 (N.D. Ohio Oct. 29, 2007).

Here, the First Amended Complaint is 399 paragraphs long, and Plaintiff asserts seven separate causes of action against the Distributor Defendants, and the Distributor Defendants have moved to dismiss them all. Further, the Court’s resolution of the Distributor Defendants’ Motion

to Dismiss will inform the entire docket of more than 900 cases in the MDL and narrow the issues in this litigation significantly. Indeed, that is one reason the Court selected this case for motion practice at this time. Given the importance and complexity of the issues raised, oral argument will assist the Court in ruling on the motions and facilitate the creation of a clear record in this important litigation.

For the foregoing reasons, and for good cause shown, the Distributor Defendants respectfully request the Court hold oral argument on their Motion to Dismiss.

Dated: July 31, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Robert A. Nicholas

Robert A. Nicholas  
Shannon E. McClure  
**REED SMITH LLP**  
Three Logan Square  
1717 Arch Street, Suite 3100  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
Tel: (215) 851-8100  
Fax: (215) 851-1420  
rnickolas@reedsmith.com  
smcclure@reedsmith.com

*Counsel for AmerisourceBergen Corporation  
and AmerisourceBergen Drug Corporation*

/s/ Geoffrey Hobart

Geoffrey Hobart  
Mark Lynch  
Christian Pistilli  
**COVINGTON & BURLING LLP**  
One CityCenter  
850 Tenth Street NW  
Washington, DC 20001  
Tel: (202) 662-5281  
ghobart@cov.com  
mlynch@cov.com  
cpistilli@cov.com

*Counsel for McKesson Corporation*

/s/ Enu Mainigi

Enu Mainigi  
F. Lane Heard III  
Steven M. Pyser  
Ashley W. Hardin  
**WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP**  
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20005  
Tel: (202) 434-5000  
Fax: (202) 434-5029  
EMainigi@wc.com  
lheard@wc.com  
spyser@wc.com  
ahardin@wc.com  
*Counsel for Cardinal Health, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Ashley W. Hardin, hereby certify that the foregoing document was served via the Court's ECF system to all counsel of record.

/s/ Ashley W. Hardin  
Ashley W. Hardin